



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,822	09/11/2003		Jason R. Delker	2306 5940	
28005	7590	10/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SPRINT			VU, MICHAEL T		
6391 SPRINT KSOPHT010		VAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		KS 66251-2100	2617		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/659,822	DELKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Vu	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustilly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju							
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.						
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	 □						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's Remarks/Arguments filed July 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's Remarks/Arguments in claims 1, 9, and 14 that reference Sasano/Silverman fails to teach "method of managing a plurality of directory numbers for a mobile station" on page 2, line 15-16, page 3, line 11-12, and Sasano/Silverman fails to tech "associating said first directory number with an identifier code" on page 3, line 14-15.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner must give the broadest reasonable interpretation to all claims 1, 9, and 14 that Sasano teaches such circumstances method that managing a plurality of directory numbers for a communication terminal, in which equates to a mobile station (See Col. 3, line 15-39). Moreover, Sasano/Silverman teach the concept of the invention but do not disclose the use for a mobile station.

However, as examiner highly noted that on the Office Action filed on June 5, 2006 that Sasano does not disclose the use of mobile station. Furthermore, Sasano teaches the concept of transmitting a first message (i.g. incoming call that includes the sub-address) to the telephone system and/or terminal, the message including the ID code to indicate that the first directory number being called (displaying specified sub-address, called or caller's phone number), (See an Office Action filed on June 5, 2006).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify of Sasano concept by using different network environment, such as a wireless network.

In response to applicant's Remarks/Arguments, an applicant argued that Sasano/Silverman fails to teach the "transmitting a query to a call control system, said query identifying said first directory number" on page 4, line 9-10.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner must give the broadest reasonable interpretation that the combination of Sasano/Silverman, and in further view of Zimmerman teach the transmitting a query to a call control system, said query identifying said first directory number such as Mobile Switching Center (MSC), Service Control Point (SCP), and Home Location Register (HLR), See figures 1-6, paragraph [0023-0044], of Zimmerman, and (See Col. 1, line 16-25, and Col. 7, line 5-32) of Silverman.

In response to applicant's Remarks/Arguments, an applicant argued that Sasano/Silverman fails to teach the "transmitting over an air interface a first message to said mobile station, said first message including said identifier code" on page 5, line 5-7, and "an identifier code recognized by said mobile station" on page 5, line 13.

However, the examiner must give the broadest reasonable interpretation, and highly noted that on the Office Action filed on June 5, 2006 that Sasano does not disclose the use of mobile station. Furthermore, Sasano teaches the concept of transmitting a first message (i.g. incoming call that includes the sub-address) to the telephone system and/or terminal, the message including the ID code to indicate that

the first directory number being called (displaying specified sub-address, called or caller's phone number), (See an Office Action filed on June 5, 2006).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify of Sasano concept by using different network environment, such as a wireless network.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1-4, 9, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 4. over Sasano (US 5,220,599) in view of Silverman (5,875,240).

Regarding claims 1, 9 and 14, Sasano teaches a method of managing a plurality of directory numbers for the plurality of directory numbers including at least a first directory number and a second directory number (Fig. 9, C9, L42-67 to C10, L1-67), the method comprising: associating the first directory number with an identifier code recognized by the Private Branch Exchange PBX system (C13, L5-67), detecting a first incoming call request to connect a first incoming call to the first directory number in response to the first incoming call request (C3, L15-67 to C4, L1-61), transmitting a guery to a call control system (C4, L1-61), the query identifying the first directory number (C4, L1-61),

As examiner noted that Sasano does not disclose the use of mobile station. However, Sasano teaches the concept of transmitting a first message (i.g. incoming call that includes the sub-address) to the telephone system and/or terminal, the message including the ID code to indicate that the first directory number being called (displaying specified sub-address, called or caller's phone number),

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify of Sasano concept by using different environment, such as a wireless network.

Furthermore, Sasano is silent on receiving a response to the query, the response including the identifier code; and transmitting over an air interface a first message to the mobile station, the first message including the identifier code to indicate that the first directory number is being called.

Art Unit: 2617

However, Silverman teaches the storage device that has abbreviated codes or associate called identification information with each abbreviated code or related to the telephone number of subscribers to various special services offered by the telephone service provider (C6, L61-67 to C7, L1-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sasano, such that receiving a response to the query, the response including the identifier code; and transmitting over an air interface a first message to the mobile station, the first message including the identifier code to indicate that the first directory number is being called, for providing called party identification information, which identified or notified a telephone number associated with an end user, and allow user to manage the incoming call such as screening call.

Regarding **claim 2**, Sasano/Silverman teach the method of claim 1, wherein the response further includes an identification of the mobile station (Fig. 2-3, C5, L14-67) of Silverman.

Regarding **claim 3**, Sasano/Silverman teach the method of claim 2, comprising: the call control system determining the identifier code and the identification of the mobile station based on the first directory number (C6, L61-67 to C7, L1-32) of Silverman.

Regarding **claim 4**, Sasano/Silverman teach the method of claim 1, comprising: the mobile station providing a user-discernible indication based on the identifier code (Fig. 9, C9, L42-67 to C10, L1-46) of Sasano.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 15**, Sasano/Silverman teach in claim 14, comprising: a user selecting the first directory number to use for the call to the called party (C1, L55-67 to C2, L1-67) of Silverman.

Regarding **claim 16**, Sasano/Silverman teach in claim 15, wherein a user selecting the first directory number comprises: the user dialing a digit string associated with the first directory number (Fig. 19, C13, L5-64) of Sasano.

5. Claims 5-8, 10-13, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasano/Silverman in further view of Zimmerman (2002/0198007).

Regarding **claims 5 and 17**, Sasano/Silverman teach the method of claim 1, wherein the call control system **but is silent on** includes a service control point (SCP).

However, Zimmerman teaches the method and the wireless telecommunication system that has a service control point (SCP), (Fig. 1, [0023-0024]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sasano/Silverman, such that a service control point (SCP), to support for providing message processing in a communication unit over a wireless network.

Regarding **claims 6 and 18**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach the method of claim 1, wherein the call control system includes a home location register (Fig. 1, [0023-0024]) of Zimmerman.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 7**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach the method of claim 1, wherein the first message is an alert message (Fig. 9, C9, L42-67 to C10, L1-46) of Sasano.

Regarding **claim 8**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach the method of claim 1, comprising: detecting a second incoming call request to connect a second incoming call to the second directory number, and in response to the second incoming call request transmitting a second message to the mobile station without including the identifier code (Fig. 1-6, [0023-0044]) of Zimmerman.

Regarding **claim 10**, Sasano/Silverman teach in claim 9, wherein the call connection system **but is silent on** includes a mobile switching center (MSC).

However, Zimmerman teaches the method and the wireless telecommunication system that has a mobile switching center (MSC), (Fig. 1, [0023-0024]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sasano/Silverman, such that a mobile switching center (MSC), to support for providing message processing in a communication unit over a wireless network.

Regarding **claim 11**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach in claim 10, wherein the MSC is provisioned with at least one trigger to query the call control system in response to a request to connect an incoming call to the first directory number (Fig. 1-6, [0023-0044], of Zimmerman.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 12**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach in claim 9, wherein the call control system includes a service control point (SCP) (Fig. 1, [0023-0024]) of Zimmerman.

Regarding **claim 13**, Sasano/Silverman/Zimmerman teach in claim 9, wherein the call control system includes a home location register (HLR) (Fig. 1, [0023-0024] of Zimmerman.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,822 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-8131. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael T. Vu

DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600